arXiv:1109.2030v1 [math.FA] 9 Sep 2011

SMOOTHNESS SPACES OF HIGHER ORDER ON LOWER DIMENSIONAL SUBSETS OF THE EUCLIDEAN SPACE

LIZAVETA IHNATSYEVA AND RIIKKA KORTE

Abstract. We study Sobolev type spaces defined in terms of sharp maximal functions on Ahlfors regular subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the relation between these spaces and traces of classical Sobolev spaces. This extends in a certain way the results of Shvartsman [20] to the case of lower dimensional subsets of the Euclidean space.

1. Introduction

A. Calderón proved in [7] that a function belongs to the Sobolev space on $\mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if the function and its sharp maximal function of the corresponding order are both in an L^p-space; see also [8]. This characterization does not use the notion of derivatives and therefore it can be used to at least formally define Sobolev spaces in more general settings. Some recent results show that this approach is reasonable. In particular, P. Shvartsman proved in [20] that the trace of a Sobolev space to an arbtirary Ahlfors n-regular subset of the Euclidean space admits an intrinsic Calderón type characterization. Note that this kind of subsets may even have an empty interior. See also [14], where a description in terms of sharp maximal functions for Sobolev spaces on extension domains was given.

The main purpose of this paper is to extend Shvartsman's results to lower dimensional closed subsets of the Euclidean space. In [10] A. Jonsson characterized the trace spaces of Sobolev spaces to Ahlfors regular sets as certain Besov type spaces. Therefore, our problem can be also formulated as comparison of these Besov spaces and Calderón type spaces. Since traces of Sobolev spaces to lower dimensional subsets are of essentially different character than classical Sobolev spaces, an exact characterization of Calderón type seems not to be possible on such subsets. However, in our main result, Theorem 4.1, we show that Calderón type spaces lie between certain Besov spaces. This result in particular implies that the trace space of a Sobolev space is embedded in the Calderón type space of the corresponding order and that it contains any Calderón space of higher order, see Corollary 4.4. Our results

---

Date: September 12, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35.

have a similar spirit as Theorem 4 in [15], where relations between the trace spaces of first order Sobolev spaces and Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces were explored. In particular, their result is also of embedding type, not a sharp characterization, which is not surprising since the Hajłasz-Sobolev space coincides with a Calderón type space in their context.

In this paper, we only consider Ahlfors regular sets whose codimension is less than one. This lower bound for the dimension was due to the observation that usual properties of sharp maximal functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, studied e.g. in [9], remain valid on sets preserving Markov's inequalities for polynomials, and by [12] Ahlfors s-regular sets with $n-1 < s \leq n$ have this property. This class of sets includes, for example, many interesting Cantor type sets and self-similar sets.

There are very few approaches to spaces of higher order smoothness even on such kind of sets, in spite of the fact that the first order smoothness spaces have been extensively studied in different situations. One of the goals of the paper is to show the advantage of Calderón's approach or, more precisely, its local polynomial approximation interpretation in [4], [9] and [20], in defining Sobolev type spaces in more general setting; see the related discussion in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let H^s denote the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $Q = Q(x,r)$ be a closed cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at x with side length $2r$ and sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

We say that a subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an s-set (or Ahlfors s-regular) if there are constants c_1, $c_2 > 0$ such that for every cube $Q = Q(x,r)$ with center at S and $\operatorname{diam} Q \leq \operatorname{diam} S$, we have

$$c_1 r^s \leq H^s(Q(x,r) \cap S) \leq c_2 r^s.$$

In this paper, we will always assume that $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an s-set with $n-1 < s \leq n$.

2.1. Sobolev spaces.

Let $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Lebesgue space of L^p-integrable functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For a non-negative integer k and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all functions $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ having distributional derivatives $D^j f$, $|j| \leq k$, in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

There are several approaches to the notion of smoothness spaces of fractional order. One of them is as follows.

2.2. Potential spaces.

The Bessel kernel of order $\alpha > 0$ is the function $G_\alpha \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$\hat{G}(\xi) = (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{-\alpha/2}.$$

The potential space $L^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is

$$L^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f = G_\alpha * g \,:\, g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

and $L^p_0(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

It was shown already by Calderón [6] that if $1 < p < \infty$ and α is a non-negative integer, the Sobolev spaces and potential spaces coincide, i.e.

$$L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 < p < \infty, \;\; k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2.3. **Besov spaces.** Another scale of spaces which is widely used in the study of fractional order smoothness properties of functions is the family of Besov spaces. For $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, the Besov space $B^{p,q}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ may be defined in the following way. Let k be the integer such that $0 \leq k < \alpha \leq k+1$. Then $B^{p,q}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of functions $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\sum_{|j|\leq k} \|D^j f\|_p + \sum_{|j|=k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|D^j f(\cdot + h) - D^j f(\cdot)\|_p^q}{|h|^{n+(\alpha-k)q}} \, dh \right)^{1/q} < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

if $k < \alpha < k+1$ and $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. If $q = \infty$, then (2.1) shall be interpreted in the usual limiting way and if $\alpha = k+1$, the first difference of $D^j f$ in (2.1) shall be replaced by the second difference. For more details, see [3].

There are several equivalent characterizations of Besov spaces $B^{p,q}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for a general theory of these spaces see, for example, monographs [3], [21] and the references therein. We are interested in Besov spaces as spaces of traces of functions from Sobolev or, more general, potential spaces to subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. See the next paragraph for more precise formulations.

Jonsson and Wallin [11] extended the notion of a Besov space to more general setting. They introduced a definition of Besov spaces on general s-sets, $0 < s \leq n$, in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The definition is rather technical, but when $n - 1 < s \leq n$, it admits a more simple formulation, which is based on the local polynomial approximation approach, see Theorem 5 on p. 135 of [12]. In this paper, we will use this formulation.

Definition 2.2. Let S be an s-set with $n-1 < s \leq n$. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then a function f is in the Besov space $B^{p,q}_\alpha(S)$ if $f \in L^p(S)$ and there is a sequence $\{c_\nu\}_{\nu=0}^\infty$, $\sum_\nu c_\nu^q < \infty$, such that for every net π with mesh size $2^{-\nu}$, $\nu = 0, 1, \ldots$, there is a function $P_\pi f \in P_{[\alpha]}(\pi)$ satisfying

$$\left(\int_S |f - P_\pi f|^p \, dH^s \right)^{1/p} \leq 2^{-\nu\alpha} c_\nu. \quad (2.3)$$

Here $P_k(\pi)$ denotes the set of all functions g such that the trace of g to a cube $Q \in \pi$ is a polynomial of degree at most k, and $[\alpha]$ is the largest integer that is not greater than α.

Note that in [12], the definition is stated for s-sets preserving Markov's inequality. However, by Theorem 3 on p. 39 of [12], all s-sets with $s > n-1$ satisfy this condition.

2.4. **Traces of Sobolev functions.** We say that f can be pointwisely defined at x if the limit

$$\bar{f}(x) = \lim_{r\to 0} \fint_{Q(x,r)} f(y)\,dy = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|} \int_{Q(x,r)} f(y)\,dy$$

exists. By Lebesgue's theorem $f = \bar{f}$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

At every $x \in S$ where $\bar{f}(x)$ exists, we define the trace of a function f to S by

$$f|_S(x) := \bar{f}(x).$$

If $f \in L^p_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, then the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $\bar{f}(x)$ does not exists is at most $n - \beta p$, see for example [1]. Thus the trace of a function $f \in L^p_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to an s-set, $s > n - \beta p$, is well defined i.e. $f|_S$ is defined at H^s-a.e. point of S.

The next statement, which was proved by A. Jonsson in [10], gives a characterization of the trace of the potential space to an s-set.

Theorem 2.4. *Let S be an s-set, $0 < s < n$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha = \beta - \frac{n-s}{p} > 0$. Then*

$$L^p_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)|_S = B^{p,p}_\alpha(S),$$

where the equality means that the trace operator $\mathcal{R} : f \mapsto f|_S$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{R}f\|_{B^{p,p}_\alpha(S)} \le c\|f\|_{L^p_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for some constant c and for all functions $f \in L^p_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and there exists an extension operator $\mathcal{E} : B^{p,p}_\alpha(S) \to L^p_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for some constant c, we have

$$\|\mathcal{E}g\|_{L^p_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|g\|_{B^{p,p}_\alpha(S)}$$

for all functions $g \in B^{p,p}_\alpha(S)$.

Since for $1 < p < \infty$ and for nonnegative integers β, the potential space $L^p_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the Sobolev space $W^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the theorem above, in particular, gives a characterization for traces of Sobolev spaces.

3. The sharp maximal functions on s-sets and corresponding smoothness spaces

In this section, we introduce Calderón type smoothness spaces on s-regular subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which are defined in terms of fractional sharp maximal functions. We start with the basic notions.

3.1. **Local polynomial approximations.** Let $f \in L^u(S, H^s)$, $0 < u \leq \infty$, Q be a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q_S = Q \cap S$. Then *the normalized local best approximation* of f on Q in $L^u(S)$ norm is

$$\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q)_{L^u(S)} := \inf_{p \in P_{k-1}} \left(\fint_{Q_S} |f - p|^u \, dH^s \right)^{1/u},$$

where P_k, $k \geq 0$, is a family of all polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of degree at most k. We also set $P_{-1} := \{0\}$.

Note that for every pair of cubes such that $Q_1 \subset Q_2$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q_1)_{L^u(S)} \leq \left(\frac{H^s(Q_2 \cap S)}{H^s(Q_1 \cap S)} \right)^{1/u} \mathcal{E}_k(f, Q_2)_{L^u(S)} \tag{3.1}$$

and by the s-regularity of a set S, this further implies that

$$\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q_1)_{L^u(S)} \leq c \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1} \right)^{s/u} \mathcal{E}_k(f, Q_2)_{L^u(S)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Here $Q_i = Q(x_i, r_i)$.

In the setting of the Euclidean space, $\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q)_{L^u(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is the main object of the theory of local polynomial approximation and, in particular, it gives a unified framework for the description of various spaces of smooth functions, see for example the survey [4].

3.2. **Maximal functions.** Fix $\alpha > 0$ and set $k = -[-\alpha]$, i.e. the greatest integer strictly less than $\alpha + 1$. For a locally integrable function f on S, we define *the fractional sharp maximal function*

$$f^\sharp_{\alpha,u,S}(x) := \sup_{t > 0} \frac{1}{t^\alpha} \mathcal{E}_k(f, Q(x,t))_{L^u(S)}, \ x \in S. \tag{3.3}$$

From now on, we will write $f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}$ instead of $f^\sharp_{\alpha,1,S}$ for short.

Since S is an s-set, it follows from (3.2) that the supremum over cubes centered at x in the definition above can be replaced by the supremum over all cubes with centers in S containing point x.

When $S = \mathbb{R}^n$, maximal functions of this type were first introduced by Calderón [7] (see also the paper of Calderón and Scott [8]). It follows from the results of [7] that a function belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, if and only if f and $f^\sharp_{k,\mathbb{R}^n}$ are both in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Motivated by Calderón's characterization of Sobolev spaces define the following function spaces on s-sets

$$C^p_\alpha(S) = \{f \in L^p(S) : \|f\|_{C^p_\alpha} = \|f\|_p + \|f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}\|_p < \infty\}, \quad p \geq 1. \qquad (3.4)$$

Remark 3.5. If, in the definition (3.3), we make another choice for the degree of projection, namely, we set $k = [\alpha] + 1$ i.e. the smallest integer that is strictly larger than α, we will get another variant of a fractional maximal function. We will denote it by $f^\flat_{\alpha,S}$. Clearly, $f^\flat_{\alpha,S}$ differs from $f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}$ only if α is an integer.

Fractional sharp maximal functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the corresponding smoothness spaces were studied in detail in the monograph of R. DeVore and R. Sharpley [9]. Note that in this paper, we use the same notation as [20], but it differs from the one in [9].

P. Shvartsman proved in [20] that, when S is an n-regular subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the trace space to S of the Sobolev space can be characterized via sharp maximal functions, namely,

$$W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)|_S = C^p_k(S), \quad p > 1.$$

We aim to study the relationship between the trace spaces of $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to an s-set S, $n-1 < s < n$, and the spaces of functions defined in terms of sharp maximal functions on S. Since in this case the trace space $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)|_S$ coincides with the Besov space $B^{p,p}_\alpha(S)$, $\alpha = k - \frac{n-s}{p} > 0$ (see Theorem 2.4), the problem can be also formulated as the comparison of $B^{p,p}_\alpha(S)$ with $C^p_\alpha(S)$. Note that in this case, α is not an integer and consequently the exact choice of k for integer α does not matter, see Remark 3.5.

3.3. Projectors.

For the study of sharp maximal functions (3.3), it is useful to construct for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a projection operator P_Q from $L^1(Q \cap S)$ onto the subspace $P_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)|_{Q\cap S}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q)_{L^u(S)} \approx (H^s(Q \cap S))^{-1/u} \|f - P_Q f\|_{L^u(Q\cap S)}.$$

This is possible due to the following property of polynomials.

Proposition 3.6. *Let S be an s-set with $n-1 < s \leq n$ and $1 \leq q, u \leq \infty$. Then for every polynomial p of degree k and every cube Q centered at S, we have*

$$\left(\fint_{Q\cap S} |p|^q \, dH^s \right)^{1/q} \leq c \left(\fint_{Q\cap S} |p|^u \, dH^s \right)^{1/u}, \qquad (3.7)$$

where the constant $c > 1$ depends on n, k and S.

See Proposition 3 on p. 36 in [12] for the proof. See also [5], where a more general inequality of such kind is proved.

Actually, the reverse Hölder inequality for polynomials (3.7) guarantees that the maximal functions $f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}$ have most of the properties of their counterparts defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, we use it to show that, in the definition of the space $C^p_\alpha(S)$, the function $f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}$ can be replaced with $f^\sharp_{\alpha,u,S}$, $1 < u \le p$, without changing the space.

Recall that $Q_S = Q \cap S$. We fix now one more notation, namely, for a cube Q and a function $f \in L^u(S, H^s)$, $1 \le u \le \infty$, we denote

$$E_k(f,Q)_{L^u(S)} := \inf_{p \in P_{k-1}} \left(\int_{Q_S} |f-p|^u \, dH^s \right)^{1/u}.$$

Proposition 3.8. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and Q be a cube centered at S. Then there exists a linear operator $P_Q : L^1(Q_S) \to P_{k-1}$ such that for every $1 \le u \le \infty$ and every $f \in L^u(S)$*

$$\left(\int_{Q_S} |f - P_Q f|^u \, dH^s \right)^{1/u} \le c E_k(f,Q)_{L^u(S)},$$

with some constant c independent of Q.

Proof. Following the construction of P_Q from [20], let $\{p_\beta : |\beta| \le k-1\}$ denote an orthonormal basis in the linear space P_{k-1} with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \int_{Q_S} fg \, dH^s. \tag{3.9}$$

Note that since $s > n-1$, formula (3.9) defines an inner product indeed. Set

$$P_Q f := \sum_{|\beta| \le k-1} \left(\int_{Q_S} f p_\beta \, dH^s \right) p_\beta.$$

We estimate the operator norm of P_Q in L^u norm. For every $f \in L^u(Q_S)$, we have

$$\|P_Q f\|_{L^u(Q_S)} \le \sum_{|\beta| \le k-1} \left| \int_{Q_S} f p_\beta \, dH^s \right| \|p_\beta\|_{L^u(Q_S)}.$$

By the Hölder inequality,

$$\|P_Q f\|_{L^u(Q_S)} \le \left(\sum_{|\beta| \le k-1} \|p_\beta\|_{L^u(Q_S)} \|p_\beta\|_{L^{u'}(Q_S)} \right) \|f\|_{L^u(Q_S)},$$

and by Proposition 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|p_\beta\|_{L^u(Q_S)} \|p_\beta\|_{L^{u'}(Q_S)} \\ &\le c\big((H^s(Q_S))^{\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{2}} \|p_\beta\|_{L^2(Q_S)}\big)\big((H^s(Q_S))^{\frac{1}{u'}-\frac{1}{2}} \|p_\beta\|_{L^2(Q_S)}\big) = c. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|P_Q f\|_{L^u(Q_S)} \le c\|f\|_{L^u(Q_S)}.$$

Now, let p_Q denote a polynomial of degree $k-1$ satisfying

$$\left(\int_{Q_S} |f - p_Q|^u \, dH^s\right)^{1/u} = E_k(f,Q)_{L^u(S)}.$$

Then we can write

$$f - P_Q f = (f - p_Q) - P_Q(f - p_Q)$$

and, consequently, we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{Q_S} |f - P_Q f|^u \, dH^s\right)^{1/u} &\le (1 + \|P_Q f\|_{L^u(Q_S)}) E_k(f,Q)_{L^u(S)} \\ &\le c E_k(f,Q)_{L^u(S)}. \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

The proposition above together with the definition of the sharp maximal function (3.3) implies that

$$f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,u,S}(x) \approx \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} \left(⨍_{Q(x,t)\cap S} |f - P_{Q(x,t)} f|^u \, dH^s \right)^{1/u}. \tag{3.10}$$

Now we consider some properties of the projectors P_Q.

Lemma 3.11. *Let function $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(Q \cap S)$ and cube $Q = Q(x,r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be centered at $x \in S$, then:*

1. *$P_Q(\lambda) = \lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;*
2. *$|P_Q f(y)| \le c|f|_{Q\cap S}$, $y \in Q \cap S$;*
3. *If Q' centered at S is such that $Q' \subset Q$ and*

   $$H^s(Q' \cap S) \ge c H^s(Q \cap S),$$

   then

   $$|P_Q f(z) - P_{Q'} f(z)| \le c ⨍_{Q\cap S} |f - P_Q| \, dH^s, \quad z \in Q' \cap S;$$

4. *If $Q' = Q(y,r)$, $y \in S$, such that $Q' \cap Q \ne \emptyset$, then*

   $$|P_Q f(z_1) - P_{Q'} f(z_1)| \le c ⨍_{Q(z_2,2r)\cap S} |f - P_{Q(z_2,2r)} f| \, dH^s$$

   for every $z_1, z_2 \in Q \cap Q' \cap S$.

Proof. Properties (1) and (2) directly follow from the construction of projectors P_Q. Let us prove (3). By (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{Q'_S}|P_Qf - P_{Q'}f| &\le c\,⨍_{Q'_S}|P_Qf - P_{Q'}f|\,dH^s\\ &\le c\Big[⨍_{Q'_S}|f - P_Qf|\,dH^s + ⨍_{Q'_S}|f - P_{Q'}f|\,dH^s\Big]\\ &\le c\Big[⨍_{Q_S}|f - P_Qf|\,dH^s + \mathcal{E}_k(f, Q')_{L^1(S)}\Big] \le c\,⨍_{Q_S}|f - P_Qf|\,dH^s.\end{aligned}$$

Note that if cubes $Q = Q(x, r)$ and $Q' = (y, r')$ are such that $Q \cap Q' \neq \emptyset$ then $Q, Q' \subset Q(z_2, 2r)$ for any $z_2 \in Q \cap Q'$. Then, since

$$\begin{aligned}&|P_Qf(z_1) - P_{Q'}f(z_1)|\\ \le&|P_Qf(z_1) - P_{Q(z_2,2r)}f(z_1)| + |P_{Q'}f(z_1) - P_{Q(z_2,2r)}f(z_1)|,\end{aligned}$$

the statement (4) easily follows from (3). ☐

Remark 3.12. If $x \in S$ is a Lebesgue point of a function $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(S)$, then, by definition,

$$\lim_{r\to 0} ⨍_{Q(x,r)\cap S} |f - f(x)|\,dH^s = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

By statements (1) and (2) of Lemma 3.11, we have

$$|P_{Q(x,r)}f(x) - f(x)| = |P_{Q(x,r)}[f - f(x)](x)| \le c\,⨍_{Q(x,r)\cap S}|f - f(x)|dH^s.$$

Since almost every point of S is a Lebesgue point of a function $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(S)$ (see for example [16]), we have

$$\lim_{r\to 0} P_{Q(x,r)}f(x) = f(x) \ \text{ a.e. on } S. \tag{3.14}$$

The following lemma is a special case of Theorem 1 in [17] (see also Theorem 1 in [18]). For the sake of completeness, we will sketch the proof here.

Lemma 3.15. *Suppose that $\alpha > 0$, $q \ge 1$ and $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(S)$. Then for any cube $Q = Q(x, r)$, $x \in S$, we have*

$$\Big(⨍_{Q\cap S}|f - P_Qf|^q\,dH^s\Big)^{1/q} \le cr^\alpha\Big(⨍_{2Q\cap S}(f^\sharp_{\alpha,S})^\sigma\,dH^s\Big)^{1/\sigma}, \tag{3.16}$$

where $\frac{1}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\alpha}{s}$.

Proof. Let x_0 be a Lebesgue point of a function f. We will show that

$$|f(x_0) - P_{Q(x_0,r)}f(x_0)| \le cr^\alpha\Big(f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}(x_0)\Big)^{1-\alpha\sigma/s}\Big(⨍_{Q_S(x_0,r)}(f^\sharp_{\alpha,S})^\sigma\,dH^s\Big)^{\alpha/s}. \tag{3.17}$$

For every cube $Q(x,t) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $Q_S(x,t)$ denote the set $Q(x,t) \cap S$ and consider

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{t^\alpha} \fint_{Q_S(x,t)} |f - P_{Q(x,t)} f| \, dH^s,$$

By Proposition 3.8 and (3.2), we have

$$u(x,\tau) \le c u(x,t) \ \text{ if } \tau \le t \le 2\tau. \tag{3.18}$$

If $Q_k = Q(x_0, 2^{-k} r)$, $k \ge 0$, then by (3.14), Lemma 3.11 and (3.18) respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_0) - P_{Q(x_0,r)} f(x_0)| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (P_{Q_{k+1}} f(x_0) - P_{Q_k} f(x_0)) \right| \\ &\le c r^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\alpha} u(x_0, 2^{-k} r) \qquad (3.19) \\ &\le c r^\alpha u(x_0, r) + c \int_0^r t^\alpha u(x_0,t) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$I = \left(\fint_{Q_S(x_0,r)} (f^\sharp_{\alpha,S})^\sigma \, dH^s \right)^{1/\sigma} \tag{3.20}$$

and consider two cases:

(1) If $f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}(x_0) \le I$ then by (3.10), we have

$$\int_0^r t^{\alpha-1} u(x_0,t) \, dt \le c r^\alpha f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}(x_0) \le c r^\alpha (f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}(x_0))^{1-\frac{\alpha\sigma}{s}} I^{\frac{\alpha\sigma}{s}}.$$

(2) If $f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}(x_0) > I$, then define $\tau = r I^{\sigma/s} (f^\sharp_{\alpha,S})^{-\sigma/s} < r$ and write

$$\int_0^r t^{\alpha-1} u(x_0,t) \, dt = \left(\int_0^\tau + \int_\tau^r \right) t^{\alpha-1} u(x_0,t) \, dt \equiv I_1 + I_2.$$

Then

$$I_1 \le c \tau^\alpha f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}(x_0) = c r^\alpha (f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}(x_0))^{1-\frac{\alpha\sigma}{s}} I^{\frac{\alpha\sigma}{s}}.$$

To estimate I_2, note that for every $t \le r$, we have

$$u(x_0,t) \le c \left(\fint_{Q_S(x_0,t)} (f^\sharp_{\alpha,S})^\sigma \, dH^s \right)^{1/\sigma} \le c \left(\frac{r}{t} \right)^{s/\sigma} I, \tag{3.21}$$

and therefore

$$I_2 \le c I r^{s/\sigma} \int_\tau^r t^{\alpha - 1 - s/\sigma} \, dt \le c I \left(\frac{r}{\tau} \right)^{s/\sigma} \tau^\alpha \le c r^\alpha I,$$

Consequently, we have the same estimate as in case (1) for the integral in (3.19).

To estimate $u(x_0, r)$, we use (3.21) with $t = r$. Thus

$$u(x_0, r) = [u(x_0, r)]^{1-\frac{\alpha\sigma}{s}}[u(x_0, r)]^{\frac{\alpha\sigma}{s}} \le [f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S}(x_0)]^{1-\frac{\alpha\sigma}{s}} I^{\frac{\alpha\sigma}{s}},$$

which finishes the proof of (3.17).

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\fint_{Q_S} |f - P_Q f|^q \, dH^s\right)^{1/q} \le & \left(\fint_{Q_S} |f(y) - P_{Q(y,r)} f(y)|^q \, dH^s(y)\right)^{1/q} \\ & + \left(\fint_{Q_S} |P_{Q(y,r)} f(y) - P_Q f(y)|^q \, dH^s(y)\right)^{1/q} \\ \equiv & I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned}$$

By (3.17), we have

$$I_1 \le cr^{\alpha}\left(\fint_{Q_S} (f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S}(y))^{q(1-\alpha\sigma/s)} \left(\fint_{Q_S(y,r)} (f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S})^{\sigma} \, dH^s\right)^{q\alpha/s} dH^s(y)\right)^{1/q},$$

and since for every $y \in Q(x, r)$, the cube $Q(y, r) \subset Q(x, 2r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &\le cr^{\alpha}\left(\fint_{Q_S(x,2r)} (f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S})^{\sigma} \, dH^s\right)^{\alpha/s} \left(\fint_{Q_S} (f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S})^{\sigma} \, dH^s(y)\right)^{1/q} \\ &\le cr^{\alpha} \left. \fint_{Q_S(x,2r)} (f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S})^{\sigma} \, dH^s\right)^{1/\sigma}.\end{aligned}$$

If $y \in Q(x, r) \cap S$ and $z \in Q(x, 2r) \cap S$, then by statement (4) of Lemma 3.11, we have

$$|P_{Q(y,r)} f(y) - P_Q f(y)| \le c \fint_{Q_S(x,2r)} |f - P_{Q(x,2r)} f| \, dH^s \le cr^{\alpha} f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S}(z).$$

Since the last inequality holds for any $z \in Q_S(x, 2r)$, we have

$$|P_{Q(y,r)} f(y) - P_Q f(y)| \le cr^{\alpha}\left(\fint_{Q_S(x,2r)} (f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S})^{\sigma} \, dH^s\right)^{1/\sigma} \qquad (3.22)$$

for every $y \in Q_S$. This completes the proof. □

Applying the Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.15 respectively, we get the following statement.

Lemma 3.23. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $u > 1$ and $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(S)$, then*

$$f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S} \le f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,u,S}(x) \le cM_{\sigma}(f^{\sharp}_{\alpha,S})(x), \qquad (3.24)$$

where $1/\sigma = 1/u + \alpha/s$, M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and $M_{\sigma}(g) = [M(|g|^{\sigma})]^{1/\sigma}$.

Remark 3.25. Recall that the function space $C^p_\alpha(S)$ is defined as the set of functions $f \in L^p(S)$ such that $f^\sharp_{\alpha,1,S} \in L^p(S)$. By Lemma 3.23 and the L^q-boundedness of the maximal operator for $q > 1$, the set of functions such that $f^\sharp_{\alpha,u,S} \in L^p(S)$ is independent of u as long as $1 \leq u \leq p$. Thus, we can use any value of u in the definition of the space $C^p_\alpha(S)$. Furthermore, the next lemma shows that to define $C^p_\alpha(S)$, it is enough to consider local best approximations on cubes with side length less than any fixed positive number.

Lemma 3.26. *Let $p > 1$, $1 \leq u \leq p$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then $C^p_\alpha(S)$ coincides with the space*

$$\{f \in L^p(S) : \sup_{0<t<\gamma} t^{-\alpha}\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q(\cdot, t))_{L^u(S)} \in L^p(S)\}.$$

Proof. First let $1 \leq u < p$. For every $x \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t\geq\gamma} \frac{1}{t^\alpha}\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q(x, t))_{L^u(S)} &\leq \gamma^{-\alpha} \sup_{t\geq\gamma} \left(\fint_{Q(x,t)\cap S} |f|^u \, dH^s \right)^{1/u} \\ &\leq c(M(f^u)(x))^{1/u}\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

and the claim follows from the L^q-boundedness of the maximal operator for $q = p/u > 1$.

If $u = p$, we take some $1 \leq q < p$. By (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f^\sharp_{\alpha,p,S}\|_{L^p(S)} \leq c\|f^\sharp_{\alpha,q,S}\|_{L^p(S)} \\ &\leq \|\sup_{0<t<\gamma} \frac{1}{t^\alpha}\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q(x, t))_{L^q(S)}\|_{L^p(S)} + \|\sup_{t\geq\gamma} \frac{1}{t^\alpha}\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q(x, t))_{L^q(S)}\|_{L^p(S)},\end{aligned}$$

where the first summand is bounded by the assumption and the second by (3.27). □

4. COMPARISON WITH BESOV SPACES

The following theorem is the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be an s-set with $n - 1 < s \leq n$, $1 < p \leq \infty$ and α be a non-integer positive number. Then*

$$B^{p,p}_\alpha(S) \subset C^p_\alpha(S) \subset B^{p,\infty}_\alpha(S). \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.3. If $\alpha > 0$ is an integer then in the embeddings (4.2) the space $C^p_\alpha(S)$ shall be replaced with the space

$$\{f \in L^p(S) : f^\flat_{\alpha,S} \in L^p(S)\},$$

see Remark 3.5 for the difference between functions $f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}$ and $f^\flat_{\alpha,S}$.

Theorem 4.1 is an analogue of Theorem 7.1 in [9] for $S = \mathbb{R}^n$. Examples similar to the ones constructed in [9] show that the embeddings (4.2) are the best possible within the scale of Besov spaces.

The case of s-set with s strictly less than n is of our current interest due to the characterization for traces of potential spaces to s-sets given by A. Jonsson, see Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 4.4. *Let S be an s-set, $n-1 < s < n$, $1 < p < \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha = k - (n-s)/p > 0$. Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < (n-s)/p$*

$$C^p_{\alpha+\varepsilon}(S) \subset W^p_k(\mathbb{R}^n)|_S \subset C^p_\alpha(S). \tag{4.5}$$

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following representation for the norm of Besov spaces.

Theorem 4.6. *Let S be an s-set, $n-1 < s \le n$, $\alpha > 0$, $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ and $k = [\alpha]+1$. Then, when $q < \infty$, we have*

$$\|f\|_{B^{p,q}_\alpha(S)} \approx \|f\|_{L^p(S)} + \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\|\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q(\cdot,t))_{L^p(S)}\|_{L^p(S)}}{t^\alpha}\right)^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{B^{p,\infty}_\alpha(S)} \approx \|f\|_{L^p(S)} + \sup_{0<t\le 1} t^{-\alpha}\|\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q(\cdot,t))_{L^p(S)}\|_{L^p(S)}.$$

Remark 4.7. Such characterization of Besov spaces is fairly standard, see for example [4], [21] for the case when $S = \mathbb{R}^n$ and [12], [20] for the case of n-sets.

Proof. First, suppose that the right-hand-side is finite. We note that by (3.1), we can replace the integral by the sum

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^\infty 2^{\nu\alpha q}\left(\int_S \mathcal{E}^p_k(f, Q(x, 2^{-\nu}))_{L^p(S)}\, dH^s(x)\right)^{q/p}. \tag{4.8}$$

Take a net $\pi = \{Q_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ with mesh size $2^{-\nu}$ and let $P_\pi f$ be a function from $P_k(\pi)$ which will be chosen later. Clearly,

$$\int_S |f - P_\pi f|^p\, dH^s = \sum_{Q\in\pi}\int_{Q\cap S} |f - P_\pi f|^p\, dH^s = \sum_{Q\in\pi'}\int_{Q\cap S} |f - P_\pi f|^p\, dH^s,$$

where $\pi' = \{Q \in \pi : \ Q \cap S \ne \emptyset\}$.

Set $t = 2^{-\nu-1}$. For any cube $Q = Q(x,t)$ from π', choose a point $y \in Q \cap S$ and set $K = Q(y, 2t)$. Then $Q \subset K$ and

$$\sum_{Q\in\pi'} \chi_K \le c,$$

where constant c depends only on n.

The center of every cube K is in S. Hence, by Proposition 3.8, there is a projector $P_K : L^1(K \cap S) \to P_{[\alpha]}$ such that

$$\int_{K\cap S} |f - P_K f|^p \, dH^s \leq cH^s(K \cap S)\mathcal{E}_k^p(f, K)_{L^p(S)},$$

with constant c independent of f and K. Define $P_\pi f(x) = P_K f(x)$, $x \in Q$, and $P_\pi f(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \bigcup_{Q\in\pi'} Q$. For any point $z \in K\cap S$ we have $K \subset Q(z, 4t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Q\cap S} |f - P_\pi f|^p \, dH^s &= \int_{Q\cap S} |f - P_K f|^p \, dH^s \\ &\leq \int_{K\cap S} |f - P_K f|^p \, dH^s \\ &\leq cH^s(K \cap S)\mathcal{E}_k^p(f, K)_{L^p(S)} \\ &\leq cH^s(K \cap S)\mathcal{E}_k^p(f, Q(z, 4t))_{L^p(S)},\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by (3.1). Then we integrate the inequality over the set $K \cap S$ to obtain

$$\int_{Q\cap S} |f - P_\pi f|^p \, dH^s \leq c \int_{K\cap S} \mathcal{E}_k^p(f, Q(z, 4t))_{L^p(S)} \, dH^s(z).$$

Remember that we set $t = 2^{-\nu-1}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_S |f - P_\pi f|^p \, dH^s\right)^{1/p} &\leq c\left(\sum_{Q\in\pi'} \int_{K\cap S} \mathcal{E}_k^p(f, Q(z, 2^{-\nu+1}))_{L^p(S)} \, dH^s(z)\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c\left(\int_S \mathcal{E}_k^p(f, Q(\cdot, 2^{-\nu+1}))_{L^p(S)} \, dH^s\right)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

Let now c_ν be equal to the last integral multiplied by $2^{\nu\alpha}$. Then

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_\nu^q = c\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 2^{\nu\alpha q}\left(\int_S \mathcal{E}_k^p(f, Q(x, 2^{-\nu}))_{L^p(S)} \, dH^s(x)\right)^{q/p} < \infty,$$

so that, by (4.8), $f \in B_\alpha^{p,q}(S)$ and the wanted estimate for its norm holds.

Suppose now that $f \in B_\alpha^{p,q}(S)$ and π is a net with mesh size $2t$, $t > 0$. Denote by π' a family of all cubes Q from π such that $Q \cap S \neq \emptyset$. If $Q \in \pi'$ and $x \in Q\cap S$, then $Q(x, t) \subset 2Q$, $H^s(Q(x, t)\cap S) \approx H^s(2Q\cap S)$ and by (3.1)

$$\mathcal{E}_k^p(f, Q(x, t))_{L^u(S)} \leq c\,\mathcal{E}_k^p(f, 2Q)_{L^u(S)}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_S \mathcal{E}_k^p(f,Q(x,t))_{L^u(S)}\,dH^s(x) &= \sum_{Q\in\pi'}\int_{Q\cap S}\mathcal{E}_k^p(f,Q(x,t))_{L^u(S)}\,dH^s(x)\\ &\le c\sum_{Q\in\pi'} H^s(2Q\cap S)\mathcal{E}_k^p(f,2Q)_{L^u(S)}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that family of cubes $\tilde{\pi}=\{2Q:\ Q\in\pi'\}$ can be represented as $\tilde{\pi}=\cup_{i=1}^m\pi_i$, where $m=2^n$ and every π_i is a subfamily of a net with mesh size $4t$.

Set $k=[\alpha]+1$ and $t=2^{-\nu}$, $\nu=2,\dots$. Since $f\in B_\alpha^{p,q}(S)$, there are functions $P_{\pi_i}f\in P_{k-1}$, $i=1,\dots,m$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_S \mathcal{E}_k^p(f,Q(x,2^{-\nu}))_{L^u(S)}\,dH^s(x)\\ &\qquad\le c\sum_{i=1}^m\sum_{Q\in\pi_i} H^s(Q\cap S)\mathcal{E}_k^p(f,Q)_{L^p(S)}\\ &\qquad\le c\sup_{\pi_i}\sum_{Q\in\pi_i}(H^s(Q\cap S))^{1-p/p}\int_{Q\cap S}|f-P_{\pi_i}f|^u\,dH^s\\ &\qquad= c\sup_{\pi_i}\sum_{Q\in\pi_i}\int_{Q\cap S}|f-P_{\pi_i}f|^p\,dH^s,\\ &\qquad\le c\sup_{\pi_i}\int_S|f-P_{\pi_i}f|^p\,dH^s\le c2^{(-\nu+2)\alpha p}c_{\nu-2}^p\end{aligned}$$

and, consequently

$$\sum_{\nu=2}^\infty 2^{\nu\alpha q}\Big(\int_S\mathcal{E}_k^p(f,Q(x,2^{-\nu}))_{L^u(S)}\,dH^s(x)\Big)^{q/p}\le c\sum_{\nu=0}^\infty c_\nu^q<\infty.\quad\Box$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We start with the first embedding and use here the characterization of the spaces $C_\alpha^p(S)$ given by Lemma 3.26. By property (3.1) of local best approximation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{0<t\le\frac12}\frac{1}{t^{\alpha p}}\mathcal{E}_k^p(f,Q(x,t))_{L^p(S)} &\le c\sum_{\nu=1}^\infty 2^{-\nu\alpha p}\mathcal{E}_k^p(f,Q(x,2^{-\nu}))_{L^p(S)}\\ &\le c\int_0^1\frac{\mathcal{E}_k^p(f,Q(x,t))_{L^p(S)}}{t^{\alpha p}}\,\frac{dt}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_{\alpha,S}^\sharp\|_{L^p(S)}^p &\le c\int_S\int_0^1\frac{\mathcal{E}_k^p(f,Q(x,t))_{L^p(S)}}{t^{\alpha p}}\,\frac{dt}{t}dx\\ &= c\int_0^1\Big(\frac{\|\mathcal{E}_k(f,Q(\cdot,t))_{L^p(S)}\|_{L^p(S)}}{t^\alpha}\Big)^p\frac{dt}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

For non-integer $\alpha > 0$ the number $k = -[-\alpha]$ is strictly greater than α, hence, by Theorem 4.6 the last term can be estimated by $\|f\|_{B^{p,p}_\alpha(S)}$.

To prove the second embedding, we notice that for every $\alpha > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q(\cdot, t))_{L^p(S)}\|_{L^p(S)}}{t^\alpha} \le \| \sup_{t>0} \frac{\mathcal{E}_k(f, Q(\cdot, t))_{L^p(S)}}{t^\alpha} \|_{L^p(S)}. \tag{4.9}$$

Setting $k - [-\alpha]$ and taking the supremum over the interval $(0, 1]$ in (4.9) we get

$$\|f\|_{B^{p,\infty}_\alpha(S)} \le \|f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}\|_{L^p(S)}. \qquad \square$$

Since the statements of Lemmata 3.15, 3.23, 3.26 hold true for the sharp maximal functions $f^\flat_{\alpha,S}$ as well, the case of integer α can be treated with the slight modification of the last proof; see Remark 4.3.

5. Sobolev spaces on s-sets

As mentioned above, the definition (3.4) of the function space $C^p_k(S)$ yields the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $S = \mathbb{R}^n$ [7] or the space $W^{k,p}(S)$ if S is a $W^{k,p}$-extension domain [14]. Motivated by these facts, one could ask a natural question: Can the spaces $C^p_k(S)$ be relevant analogs of classical Sobolev spaces in some more general settings?

If S is an n-set, then $C^p_k(S)$ is the trace space of $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to S [20] and therefore functions from $C^p_k(S)$ possess certain distinctive properties of Sobolev spaces. In the case of s-sets with $n - 1 < s < n$, we can not derive the corresponding properties from the trace reasoning. Nevertheless, some results which are known for Sobolev spaces of higher order can be obtained. In particular, we have a version of Sobolev-Poincaré inequality given by Lemma 3.15, and an analogue of Sobolev embedding theorem which is proved below.

Proposition 5.1. *Let S be an s-set, $n-1 < s < n$, $p \ge 1$, $kp < s$ and $q = sp/(s - kp)$. Then*

$$\|f\|_{L^q(S)} \le c(\|f^\sharp_{\alpha,S}\|_{L^p(S)} + (\operatorname{diam} S)^{-\alpha}\|f\|_{L^p(S)}) \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.15 and by statement (2) from Lemma 3.11

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\fint_{Q_S} |f|^q \, dH^s\right)^{1/q} &\le \left(\fint_{Q_S} |f - P_Q f|^q \, dH^s\right)^{1/q} + \left(\fint_{Q_S} |P_Q f|^q \, dH^s\right)^{1/q} \\ &\le c\left[r^\alpha \left(\fint_{2Q_S} (f^\sharp_{\alpha,S})^p \, dH^s\right)^{1/p} + \fint_{Q_S} |f| \, dH^s\right].\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $Q = Q(x, \operatorname{diam} S)$, where x is any point in S, and using the s-regularity of S, we get (5.2). $\square$

For the first order Sobolev space, the definition in terms of L^p-properties of sharp maximal functions makes sense also in general situation of a metric measure space [13]. So far, very little is known about the higher order case. The problem is that in the definition of the sharp maximal function, we need a family of polynomials with special properties. In case of s-sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n-1 < s \leq n$, such families do exist, see Section 3. In more general situation, one could use the related technique assuming that some polynomial type functions exist. This kind of an approach is used for example in [19], where a version of polynomials on metric spaces equipped with a doubling measure have been proposed. See also [2], where a characterization of higher order Sobolev spaces via a quadratic multiscale expression is used to propose an other definition for Sobolev functions on any metric space.

REFERENCES

[1] D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, Function spaces and potential theory, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Vol. 314 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996).

[2] R. Alabern, J. Mateu, and J. Verdera, A new characterization of Sobolev spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$, Preprint, arXiv:1011.0667v2 (2010).

[3] O. V. Besov, V. P. Il'in, and S. M. Nikol'ski, Integral representations of functions and imbedding theorems. Vol. I–II (V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C., 1978–1979).

[4] Y. Brudnyi, Sobolev spaces and their relatives: local polynomial approximation approach, in: Sobolev spaces in mathematics. II, , Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.), Vol. 9 (Springer, New York, 2009), pp. 31–68.

[5] A. Brudnyi and Y. Brudnyi, Remez type inequalities and Morrey-Campanato spaces on Ahlfors regular sets, Interpolation theory and applications, Contemp. Math., Vol. 445 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007), pp. 19–44.

[6] A. P. Calderón, Lebesgue spaces of differentiable functions and distributions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. IV (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1961), pp. 33–49.

[7] A. P. Calderón, Estimates for singular integral operators in terms of maximal functions, Studia Math. **44**, 563–582 (1972).

[8] A. P. Calderón and R. Scott, Sobolev type inequalities for $p > 0$, Studia Math. **62**, 75–92 (1978).

[9] R. A. DeVore and R. C. Sharpley, Maximal functions measuring smoothness, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **47**, 1–115 (1984).

[10] A. Jonsson, The trace of potentials on general sets, Ark. Mat. **17**, 1–18 (1979).

[11] A. Jonsson and H. Wallin, A Whitney extension theorem in L_p and Besov spaces, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **28**, 139–192 (1978).

[12] A. Jonsson and H. Wallin, Function spaces on subsets of $\mathbf{R}^n$, Math. Rep. **2**, 1–221 (1984).

[13] P. Hajłasz and J. Kinnunen, Hölder quasicontinuity of Sobolev functions on metric spaces, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **14**, 601–622 (1998).

[14] P. Hajłasz, P. Koskela, and H. Tuominen, Sobolev embeddings, extensions and measure density condition, J. Funct. Anal. **254**, 1217–1234 (2008).

[15] P. Hajłasz and O. Martio, Traces of Sobolev functions on fractal type sets and characterization of extension domains, J. Funct. Anal. **143**, 221–246 (1997).

[16] J. Heinonen, Lectures on analysis on metric spaces, Universitext (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001).

[17] E. V. Ignat'eva, An inequality of Sobolev-Poincaré type on metric spaces in terms of sharp-maximal functions, Mat. Zametki **81**, 140–144 (2007).

[18] I. A. Ivanishko and V. G. Krotov, Generalized Poincaré-Sobolev inequality on metric spaces, Trudy Instituta Matematiki NAS Belarusi **14** (2006), in Russian.

[19] Y. Liu, G. Lu, and R. L. Wheeden, Some equivalent definitions of high order Sobolev spaces on stratified groups and generalizations to metric spaces, Math. Ann. **323**, 157–174 (2002).

[20] P. Shvartsman, Local approximations and intrinsic characterization of spaces of smooth functions on regular subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, Math. Nachr. **279**, 1212–1241 (2006).

[21] H. Triebel, Theory of function spaces. II, Monographs in Mathematics, Vol. 84 (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992).

Addresses:

L.I.: Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 11100, FI-00076 Aalto University, Finland.
E-mail: `lizaveta.ihnatsyeva@aalto.fi`

R.K.: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 68, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland.
E-mail: `riikka.korte@helsinki.fi`